

**COLORADO RIVER RECOVERY PROGRAM  
FY-1999 ANNUAL REPORT**

**Project No.: PIP 12/13**

I. Project title: Utah Combined Public Involvement (including Duchesne)

I. Principal investigator: Mark Hadley

Utah Division of Wildlife Resources  
1594 W. North Temple, Suite 2110/Box 146301  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6301  
(801) 538-4737  
(801) 538-4745 (Fax)

III Project summary: Recovery Program activities in Utah include in-stream flow protection; nonnative fish removal and Duchesne River. Those potentially impacted by the projects include landowners, anglers, water users, developers, the Ute Indian tribe, recreational users, fishing license concessionaires and recreational companies. The Utah Division of Wildlife Resources took advantage of two Regional Advisory Council meetings to provide information about Recovery Program projects in Utah and to gain input from the public.

IV Study Schedule:

Initial year: 1998  
Final year: 1999

IV. Relationship to RIPRAP:

- I. Provide and protect in-stream flows.
- II. Reduce negative impacts of non-native fish and sport-fish management
- VI. Increase public awareness and support for the endangered fishes and the Recovery Program.
- VI.C Plan and implement information and education activities for all significant Recovery Program actions, such as presentations, public meetings and public involvement training.

VI Accomplishment of FY 99 Tasks and Deliverables, Discussion of Initial Findings and Shortcomings:

Endangered fish recovery presentations were made at Utah Division of Wildlife Resources' Regional Advisory Council (RAC) meetings in Green River and Vernal Utah in September 1998. Each lasted about 30 minutes. Presentations included a slide presentation, followed by a question and answer period. About 30 people attended each meeting. About 10 of the people at each meeting were RAC citizen representatives who expressed appreciation about learning more about the RIP. The remaining people were members of the public who attended the meetings because of other items on the agenda. The general public who attended were not interested in the presentation.

VII Recommendations: The UDWR does not recommend holding the presentation again.

VIII Project Status: Completed.

IX FY 99 Budget Status:

A. Funds Provided: \$200

B. Funds Expended: \$200

C. Difference: \$0

D. Percent of the FY 99 work completed and projected costs to complete FY 99: 100%

E. Recovery Program funds spent for publication charges: not applicable

IX. Status of Data Submission: not applicable

XI Signed:

	<u>12/20/99</u>
Mark Hadley	Date
Media Specialist	